

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,379	12/27/2004	Kazufumi Tsubaki	8007-1081	3635
466	7590 07/18/2007	•	EXAM	INER
YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET			KOSAR, AARON J	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1651	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/519,379	TSUBAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aaron J. Kosar	1651 ·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	A <i>pril 2007</i> .	•				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ Thi	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-13 and 20-23</u> is/al		ration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) 14-19 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		No all and an Ala				
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li> <li>application from the International Burea</li> </ol>	<del>-</del>	received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received				
Goo the attached detailed Chief detail in	t or the continue copies in					
		·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •				

Art Unit: 1651

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's amendment and argument filed April 30, 2007 in response to the non-final rejection, are acknowledged and have been fully considered.

Applicant's election without traverse of group II, claim(s) 14-19, drawn to a microorganism containing either SEQ ID 1 or SEQ ID 2 capable of secreting and producing  $\beta$ -glucan is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1-13 and 20-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on April 30, 2007.

Upon further review, supplemental restriction between SEQ ID1- and SEQ ID2-containing organisms is required as detailed below.

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group II-A, claim(s) 14,17-19 is drawn to a microorganism containing SEQ ID 1 capable of secreting and producing  $\beta$ -glucan.

Group II-B, claim(s) 15-16 is drawn to a microorganism containing SEQ ID 2 capable of secreting and producing β-glucan.

Art Unit: 1651

The inventions listed as groups II-A and II-B do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the reasons described in the Election/Restriction of March 29, 2007 and for the following:

SEQ ID 1 or SEQ ID 2 are non-overlapping/non-obvious-variant sequences defining distinct genes effecting different transcription products (18S rRNA versus ITS-5.8S rRNA) and thus organisms containing SEQ ID 1 or SEQ ID 2 define patentably distinct inventions (independent and distinct organisms) which are properly restricted.

A telephone call was made to Philip Dubois on July 12, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Art Unit: 1651

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron J. Kosar whose telephone number is (571) 270-3054. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30AM-5:00PM, ALT. Friday, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aaron Kosar Examiner Art Unit 1651